

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/662,568	09/15/2003	John Charlton Baird	13656/62246B	· 9732	
32047 75	90 11/08/2005		EXAMINER		
GROSSMAN, TUCKER, PERREAULT & PFLEGER, PLLC			STALLARD, JOSEPH A		
55 SOUTH COMMERICAL STREET MANCHESTER, NH 03101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		,	3715		
			DATE MAIL ED: 11/09/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/662,568	BAIRD ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	·	J. Andrew Stallard	3715				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status		* •					
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 S	September 2003.					
,	<u> </u>	s action is non-final.					
3)							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
• 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25 and 28-30</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25 and 28-30</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachme	nt(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08			O-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					
J.S. Patent and	Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 3715

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Preliminary Amendment

In response to the preliminary amendment filed September 15, 2003, claims 1-

24, 26, 27, 31 and 32 are canceled, and claims 25 and 28-30 are pending.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed September 15, 2003 fails to comply

with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent

document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be

listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been

placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been

considered. Legible copies of the non-patent literature were not provided.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent

therefor, subject to the conditions and requires of this title.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,568

Art Unit: 3715

Claims 25 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two-prong test of:

- (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
- (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process claim to pass muster, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts. In the present case, claims 25 and 28-30 only recite an abstract idea. The recited steps of displaying a body representation, receiving a user input judgment, displaying a location representation, recording said location representation in said body representation to provide a final judgment representation, and comparing said final judgment representation to a library of data does not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts since all of the recited steps can be performed in the mind of the user or by use of a pencil and paper. These steps only constitute an idea of how to make judgments of a user pertaining to sensory systems.

As to technological arts recited in the preamble, mere recitation in the preamble (i.e., intended or field of use) or mere implication of employing a machine or article of

manufacture to perform some or all of the recited steps does not confer statutory

Page 4

subject matter to an otherwise abstract idea unless there is positive recitation in the

claim as a whole to breathe life and meaning into the preamble. In the present case,

none of the recited steps are directed to anything in the technological arts as explained

above with the exception of the recitation in the preamble that the method is

"computerized". Looking at the claim as a whole, nothing the body of the claim recites

any structure or functionality to suggest that a computer performs the recited steps.

Therefore, the preamble is taken to merely recite a field of use.

Additionally, for a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must

produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result. In the present case, the claimed

invention produces a judgment representation (i.e., repeatable) used in evaluating the

judgments of the user (i.e., useful and tangible).

Although the recited process produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result,

since the claimed invention, as a whole, is not within the technological arts as explained

above, claims 25 and 28-30 are deemed to be directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,568 Page 5

Art Unit: 3715

Claims 25 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cain (US 5,720,502).

Claim 25: Cain discloses a computerized method of representing and recording judgments of a user pertaining to sensory systems, said method comprising: displaying at least one body representation, wherein said body representation represents at least a portion of the body of the user (col. 2, 47-48; The silhouette of the body represents the body of the patient (user).) in which the user is asked to make judgments by designating locations of said sensory symptoms (col. 2 49-53: The user designates locations of sensory symptoms (pain) by attaching informational icons to the body representation.): receiving a user input judgment associated with at least one location of a sensory symptom in said body (col. 2 49-53; The user input judgments (informational icons) are received and associated with a location of a sensory symptom (pain) in said body.); displaying a location representation at said location in said body representation (col. 2, 25-27; The location representations (icons) are displayed on the body representation as shown in Fig. 2.); and recording said location representations in said body representation to provide a final judgment representation (col. 2, 47-49; The location representations are recorded in a hard copy or a computer screen.), wherein said final judgment representation can be used to evaluate the judgments of the user.

Claim 28: Cain discloses different types of location representations are used for different types of said sensory symptoms (col. 2, 25-27; The location representations (icons) are used for various symptoms, such as burns, cuts, bruises, etc.).

Application/Control Number: 10/662,568

Art Unit: 3715

Claim 29: Cain discloses said sensory symptoms include pain symptoms, and wherein

different colors are used to represent different intensities of pain (col. 2, 27-30).

Claim 30: Cain discloses comparing said final judgment representation to a library of

data to determine a diagnosis (col. 2, 33-37; The location representations in the final

judgment representation are compared to the data from the charts of previous pain

intensities to determine a diagnosis.).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to J. Andrew Stallard whose telephone number is (571)

272-2685. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:15 am to 5:45 pm - Mon - Fri

(1st Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Monica S. Carter can be reached on (571) 272-4475. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/662,568

Art Unit: 3715

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J. Andrew Stallard Examiner Art Unit 3715

MONICAS. CARTER PRIMARY EXAMINER SPEI AU 3715